

$$r = \frac{\sum e_p e_v - (\sum e_p)(\sum e_v)/n}{\left(\left[\sum e_p^2 - (\sum e_p)^2/n \right] \left[\sum e_v^2 - (\sum e_v)^2/n \right] \right)^{(1/2)}} \quad (\text{Eq. 27})$$

(Eq. 27)

(iii) If the calculated r-value is less than 0.8, the proposed method is unacceptable.

[58 FR 3701, Jan. 11, 1993, as amended at 60 FR 26530, May 17, 1995; 60 FR 40296, Aug. 8, 1995; 67 FR 40440, June 12, 2002]

§ 75.42 Reliability criteria.

To demonstrate reliability equal to or better than the continuous emission monitoring system, the owner or operator shall demonstrate that the alternative monitoring system is capable of providing valid 1-hr averages for 95.0 percent or more of unit operating hours over a 1-yr period and that the system meets the applicable requirements of appendix B of this part.

§ 75.43 Accessibility criteria.

To demonstrate accessibility equal to or better than the continuous emission monitoring system, the owner or operator shall provide reports and on-site records of emission data to demonstrate that the alternative monitoring system provides data meeting the requirements of subparts F and G of this part.

§ 75.44 Timeliness criteria.

To demonstrate timeliness equal to or better than the continuous emission monitoring system, the owner or operator shall demonstrate that the alternative monitoring system can meet the requirements of subparts F and G of this part; can provide a continuous, quality-assured, permanent record of certified emissions data on an hourly basis; and can issue a record of data for the previous day within 24 hours.

§ 75.45 Daily quality assurance criteria.

The owner or operator shall either demonstrate that daily tests equivalent to those specified in appendix B of this part can be performed on the alter-

native monitoring system or demonstrate and document that such tests are unnecessary for providing quality-assured data.

§ 75.46 Missing data substitution criteria.

The owner or operator shall demonstrate that all missing data can be accounted for in a manner consistent with the applicable missing data procedures in subpart D of this part.

§ 75.47 Criteria for a class of affected units.

(a) The owner or operator of an affected unit may represent a class of affected units for the purpose of applying to the Administrator for a class-approved alternative monitoring system.

(b) The owner or operator of an affected unit representing a class of affected units shall provide the following information:

(1) A description of the affected unit and how it appropriately represents the class of affected units;

(2) A description of the class of affected units, including data describing all the affected units which will comprise the class; and

(3) A demonstration that the magnitude of emissions of all units which will comprise the class of affected units are *de minimis*.

(c) If the Administrator determines that the emissions from all affected units which will comprise the class of units are *de minimis*, then the Administrator shall publish notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER, providing a 30-day period for public comment, prior to granting a class-approved alternative monitoring system.

[60 FR 40297, Aug. 8, 1995]

§ 75.48 Petition for an alternative monitoring system.

(a) The designated representative shall submit the following information